Case 2:00-cv-02016-LKK-JFM Document 104 Filed 09/09/05 Page 1 of 9

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	CALIFORNIANS FOR ALTERNATIVES TO TOXICS, et al.,
11	Plaintiffs,
12	v. NO. CIV. S-00-2016 LKK/JFM
13	MICHAEL DOMBECK, in his official
14	capacity as Chief of the Forest Service, et al.,
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	CALIFORNIANS FOR ALTERNATIVES TO TOXICS, a non-profit corporation,
18	Plaintiff,
19	v. NO. CIV. S-05-1502 MCE/DAD
20	UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE; RELATED CASE ORDER
21	STEVEN T. EUBANKS, in his official capacity as Forest Supervisor for
22	Tahoe National Forest; and SAM WILBANKS, in his official capacity
23	as District Ranger for the Sierraville Ranger District of the
24	Tahoe National Forest,
25	Defendants/
26	

Case 2:00-cv-02016-LKK-JFM Document 104 Filed 09/09/05 Page 2 of 9

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that the two (2) actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 83-123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). The actions involve the same parties, and are based on the same or similar claims, similar questions of fact and the same question of law.

Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge and magistrate judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 83-123 merely has the result that the two (2) actions are assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action denominated CIV. NO. S-05-1502 MCE/DAD be, and the same hereby is, reassigned to Judge Lawrence K. Karlton and Magistrate Judge John F. Moulds for all further proceedings, and any dates currently set in this reassigned case only are hereby VACATED. The parties are referred to the attached Order Setting Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned case shall be shown as CIV. NO. S-05-1502 LKK/JFM.

25 ////

26 ////

Case 2:00-cv-02016-LKK-JFM Document 104 Filed 09/09/05 Page 3 of 9

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment. DATED: September 7, 2005. /s/Lawrence K. Karlton LAWRENCE K. KARLTON SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Case 2:00-cv-02016-LKK-JFM Document 104 Filed 09/09/05 Page 4 of 9

1	STATSET.LKK (rev. 12/00)
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	
12	NO.CIV.SLKK Plaintiff(s),
13	v. ORDER SETTING STATUS
14	(PRETRIAL SCHEDULING) CONFERENCE
15	CONFERENCE
16	Defendant(s).
16 17	
	Defendant(s).
17	
17 18	
17 18 19	
17 18 19 20	Defendant(s). This action has been assigned to the Honorable LAWRENCE K. KARLTON. Pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, as amended December 1, 1993, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
17 18 19 20 21	Defendant(s). This action has been assigned to the Honorable LAWRENCE K. KARLTON. Pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, as amended December 1, 1993, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is SET for
17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant(s). This action has been assigned to the Honorable LAWRENCE K. KARLTON. Pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, as amended December 1, 1993, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is SET for December 5, 2005 at 10:30 a.m., before Judge Karlton in Chambers
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant(s). This action has been assigned to the Honorable LAWRENCE K. KARLTON. Pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, as amended December 1, 1993, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is SET for December 5, 2005 at 10:30 a.m., before Judge Karlton in Chambers ////
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Defendant(s). This action has been assigned to the Honorable LAWRENCE K. KARLTON. Pursuant to the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, as amended December 1, 1993, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is SET for December 5, 2005 at 10:30 a.m., before Judge Karlton in Chambers //// ////

- 2. In order to enable the court to comply with the 120-day time limit specified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b), plaintiff SHALL COMPLETE SERVICE OF PROCESS ON ALL PARTIES WITHIN FORTY-FIVE (45) DAYS OF THE DATE OF FILING THE COMPLAINT HEREIN.
- 3. Concurrently with the service of process, or as soon thereafter as possible, plaintiff shall serve upon each of the parties named in the complaint, and upon all parties subsequently joined, a copy of this order, and shall file with the clerk a certificate reflecting such service. Any party who impleads a third-party defendant shall serve upon that party a copy of this order, and shall file with the clerk a certificate reflecting such service.
- 4. In the event that this action was reassigned to Judge Karlton from another judge, plaintiff shall serve upon all parties to this action a copy of this order, and shall file with the clerk a certificate reflecting such service.
- 5. Any non-governmental corporate party to an action in this court shall file a statement identifying all its parent corporations and listing any publicly held company that owns 10% or more of the party's stock. Defendant shall file the statement with its initial pleading filed in the court and plaintiff shall file the statement not later than ten (10) days after filing the complaint. The parties shall supplement the statement within a reasonable time of any change in the information.
- 6. In the event this action was originally filed in a state court and thereafter removed to this court, the removing party or

parties shall, immediately following such removal, serve upon each of the other parties and upon all parties subsequently joined, a copy of this order, and shall file with the clerk a certificate reflecting such service.

- 7. All parties to the action shall appear at the Status Conference through counsel (or in person, if acting without counsel). UNLESS SPECIFICALLY DIRECTED OTHERWISE, COUNSEL LOCATED OUTSIDE THE COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO MAY APPEAR BY TELEPHONE, PROVIDED THAT:
- (a) THE ACTION DOES NOT INVOLVE A LITIGANT APPEARING IN PROPRIA PERSONAL. IN SUCH CASES, ALL COUNSEL AND LITIGANTS MUST PERSONALLY APPEAR; and
- (b) COUNSEL STATE IN THEIR TIMELY-FILED STATUS REPORTS
 AS REQUIRED BY THIS ORDER THEIR DESIRE TO SO APPEAR, AND THEREIN
 PROVIDE THE TELEPHONE NUMBER THEY WILL BE CALLING FROM; and
- (916) 930-4130, AT EXACTLY THE TIME THE CONFERENCE IS SCHEDULED TO BEGIN. IF MORE THAN ONE PARTY DESIRES TO APPEAR BY TELEPHONE, COUNSEL MUST MAKE ARRANGEMENTS AMONG THEMSELVES TO SET UP THE CONFERENCE CALL AT THE SCHEDULED TIME WITH ALL PARTICIPANTS ON THE LINE BEFORE CALLING CHAMBERS. A FAILURE TO PLACE THE TELEPHONE CALL AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME WILL BE TREATED AS A FAILURE TO APPEAR AND MAY SUBJECT COUNSEL TO SANCTIONS.
- 8. The parties shall file with the court and serve upon all other parties, not later than 4:30 p.m., ten (10) days preceding the conference, a Status Report. ANY PARTY FAILING TO FILE A

TIMELY STATUS REPORT PURSUANT TO THIS ORDER MAY BE SUBJECTED TO MONETARY SANCTIONS AND/OR THE DISMISSAL OF THE COMPLAINT OR STRIKING OF THE ANSWER. The Status Report shall briefly set out 3 the views of the party making the report on the following matters: 4 5 Name(s) of the parties counsel represents; 6 (b) A brief summary of the facts alleged in each complaint and a characterization of the legal theories under which 7 recovery is sought or liability denied. The characterization of 8 the legal theories does not require legal argument, but only a 9 description of the legal theory (or theories); 10 Progress in the service of process; 11 (C) Possible joinder of additional parties; 12 (d) 13 (e) Any expected or desired amendment of pleadings; Specifying the statutory basis for jurisdiction and 14 (f) 15 venue; 16 Anticipated motions and the scheduling thereof; (q) 17 Anticipated discovery and the scheduling thereof. (h) In this regard, the parties shall discuss whether deferral of 18 19 discovery pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(d) is appropriate, any 20 order affecting discovery pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and 29-37 is desired, and whether a discovery conference under Fed. R. Civ. 21 P. 26(f) should be held; 22

26 ////

23

24

25

cut-off dates for discovery, law and motion, and the scheduling of

the pretrial conference and trial;

Future proceedings, including setting appropriate

- (j) Appropriateness of special procedures such as agreement to try the matter before a magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), or reference to a special master, or to the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, or application of the Manual for Complex Litigation, binding arbitration in Superior Court;
 - (k) Whether any of the parties has timely demanded a trial by jury;
 - (1) Estimate of trial time;
 - (m) Modification of standard pretrial procedures specified by the local rules due to the relative simplicity or complexity of the action;
 - (n) Whether the case is related to any other case, including any matters in bankruptcy;
 - (o) Whether counsel will stipulate to the trial judge acting as settlement judge and waive any disqualification by virtue thereof, or whether the parties prefer to have a settlement conference before another judge;
 - (p) The report of the parties concerning use of the Voluntary Dispute Resolution Program pursuant to Local Rule 16-271;
 - (q) Any other matters which may be conducive to the just, efficient, and economical determination of the action.
 - Following the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference, the court will issue a written order regarding the future course of this litigation.

26 ////

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case 2:00-cv-02016-LKK-JFM Document 104 Filed 09/09/05 Page 9 of 9

1	9. Requests for continuance of Status Conferences are not
2	favored and will not be granted in the absence of a true emergency
3	and, in any event, will not be entertained unless made in writing
4	at least THREE (3) DAYS prior to the scheduled conference.
5	10. At the time of filing a motion or an opposition thereto,
6	counsel are requested to e-mail a copy to the Judge's Assistant,
7	Tim Hinkle, at thinkle@caed.uscourts.gov.
8	11. Counsel are hereby reminded of their continuing duty to
9	immediately notify the Courtroom Deputy at (916) 930-4133 and the
10	Judge's Chambers in writing, of any settlement or other disposition
11	(Local Rule 16-160).
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.
13	DATED: September 7, 2005.
14	<u>/s/Lawrence K. Karlton</u> LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
15	SENIOR JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	